

ASO AC Teleconference
Tuesday, 5 March 2024
9:00 AM local Puerto Rico time
Notes

Attendees	Observers
<p>APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) – Vice Chair Gaurav Kansal (Gaurav K.) - online</p> <p>ARIN Nick Nugent (Nick N.) Chris Quesada (Chris Q.) Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.)</p> <p>LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) – Vice Chair Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.) Sander Steffan (Sander S.)</p> <p>Secretariat Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p>ICANN Org Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Steve Sheng (Steve S.) Carlos Reyes (Carlos R.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Nancy Carter</p> <p>APNIC Keni Huang (Keni H.)</p> <p>Observers Akinori Maemura (Akinori M.)</p>

Sessions 5

Hervé C: Today will mostly be dedicated to our work on ICP-2. I give the floor to Nick N. who is shepherding the drafting of the principles document.

Nick N. shared on screen an ICP-2 principles document (first draft) and proceeded to explain the goal of the document, what we are trying to accomplish. He explained how he structured the document and its content and proposed going over the various sections.

All agreed.

Nick N. went over each section/aspect of the draft principles document and the entire ASO AC commented and exchanged ideas, while Nick N. updated the document live based on the exchanges.

Everyone discussed the nature of the document in depth. All agreed that there is a lot of complexity that needs to be hashed out. We don't have to solve the confusion today, we want to suggest that one of the things we potentially want in the new ICP-2 is a different structure, at least RIR

recognition and de-recognition. All agreed. It is now 2024 and an entirely different situation, some things need to change.

Session 6

Attendees
The following additional participants joined this session:
ASO AC: Jorge Villa (online)
LACNIC: Oscar Robles (online)

Discussion about the principles document resumed.

Nick N. continued going over his draft principles document with the others while updating it live.

At this time, a comment was received via chat from an online participant (observer). This prompted a discussion on whether such comments should be allowed.

Suggestion: All ASO AC members should read the 1999 MoU.

Session 7

Attendees
Additional participants:
ASO AC: Jorge Villa (online)
ARIN: Micheal Abejuela
RIPE: Athina Fragkouli

Kevin B: We had a very short discussion about observers and who has standing to participate in open sessions. Following Robert's rules, observers are allowed. The question is who can speak. If we bring up the name of an organization, I believe the chair should give them voice if there is a person from such organization present. But an average individual should not have participation, we should follow our procedures strictly and as we have historically done.

Hervé C: Personally, this seems a reasonable idea to ease the discussion. Privileged participation for specific participants (ICANN, RIRs, etc.). Then there will be the opportunity to provide input (community consultation). The idea is not to exclude anybody from the debate but to facilitate discussions. I agree with Kevin B.

After some further discussion the following operational rule was agreed for the remainder of the ASO AC meetings required for the ICP-2 process: Observers will not be allowed to provide input.

Nick N. recapped what they had worked on in the morning for the benefit of the RIR lawyers joining the session.

Athina F. and Micheal A. provided their input: If the AC believes that the structure of ICP-2 should change, please submit this to us so we can take it to the EC.

Kevin B: We need at least a tacit “we don’t mind a new structure” vs “we don’t want that.” Second, we believe that we need to say why an existing principle needs to be changed. We are not just randomly changing things. Also, there’s no need for us to change these principles now before consulting with the community. We can clean up and update the document, but we cannot change the principles.

Micheal A: It makes sense to not make updates for the sake of it, but to have reasons. Second, the way the RIRs look at it is that the ASO AC is the body reviewing this document using a bottom-up, transparent process. If there are multiple options available, from the RIR standpoint, we don’t want to interfere as this is a community process. We’re happy to comment, but we don’t want to influence the process, particularly in the early stages, except if something is untenable.

Athina F: I agree that if we publish a principles document, it should be discussed with the NRO and perhaps with ICANN. So, throughout the process feel free to come to us if you have any questions.

Hervé C: Thank you. We will be sure to ask questions if we feel we need to do so.

Sander A: These sessions are open, so you will be able to follow discussions.

Nick N. then continued going through the draft principles document with the rest and updating it as appropriate.

Session 8

Attendees
The following additional participants joined this session:
ASO AC: Jorge Villa (online)
LACNIC: Oscar Robles (online)

Discussion of the principles document continued, now without the presence of the RIR lawyers.

At this point, Nick N. had to leave the meeting.

Hervé C proposed continuing this topic tomorrow.

Kevin B. to Steve S: Is there anything else you want to say about yesterday's discussion?

Steve S: We are still discussing internally. Thank you for engaging us. We want to think about how, as an organization, we are providing feedback to our members. Also, we want to provide feedback as soon as possible and make sure the policy you develop can be implemented by us. That will require us to follow your discussions. Another aspect: there is some set of background research required (how was this processed, what criteria were considered, etc.). To the extent we can help, this help is more in a research capacity. Our goal is to help your work and tell you what we can implement. But we are still discussing this internally.

Hervé C: It's good to benefit from your insights and presence.

Kevin B: I don't think the ASO AC needs to dive into how you materialize operational changes, etc. Another issue is education. We've historically been out of sight and out of mind, so some education will be needed. For me, it's disheartening to see that we have 12 open sessions, and most people are not aware of this. This awareness is critical.

Steve S: We can help amplify this message.

Session 9 (closed session)

Rather than discussing the ICANN Board selection process right now, Ricardo P. will send an update via the mailing list.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 pm local time.