

ASO AC Teleconference - ASO AC Principles Document Discussion
Wednesday, 27 March 2024
12:00 PM UTC
Notes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
<p>APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) – Vice Chair Gaurav Kansal (Gaurav K.)</p> <p>ARIN Nick Nugent (Nick N.) Chris Quesada (Chris Q.) Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.)</p> <p>LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.) Sander Steffann (Sander S.)</p> <p>Secretariat Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p>RIPE Community Mirjam Kuehne (Mirjam K.)</p> <p>ICANN Org Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Andrew McConachie (Andrew M.) Steve Sheng (Steve S.)</p>	<p>APNIC Di Ma (Di M.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.)</p>

Notes:

Nick N: We had a productive set of meetings in Puerto Rico and have a very rough first framework of what we hope to accomplish. It was suggested to continue having regular meetings to maintain momentum.

Nick N: Suggestion: We could go through the document [ICP-2v2 – Principles and Questions (current).docx] structurally, at a high level, rather than wordsmithing the text. This way we could see if we have rough consensus and where we want to go with each principle. I can come up with some draft language which we can go through more granularly during our next meeting.

Kevin B: We’ve gone into a lot of specificity. Concern: people may get confused. Question: can we boil this down to a simpler set of principles for the first round? Pull out a set of core principles so

people don't focus on individual words instead of on overarching principles. Another concern: some of these principles may not be 100% actionable. The emphasis of the document is that the principles should be followed wherever they can. But what happens if there is a conflict?

Nick N: Both points are valid. I can't immediately see how to address those issues but hope that through this exercise and as we go through the document this may become apparent.

Herve C: To be efficient, we should present to the community a set of principles for review, without excessive detail.

Constanze B: I agree for the first topic. The document is very good at the moment, but I have the same concerns (excessive detail). I also agree with Nick, we will see as we move forward, make sure the principles and ideas we want to bring in can be operationalized.

Nick N: Kevin B, do you have any thoughts on how we might simplify this for the next stage? In Puerto Rico it was suggested that this document could serve a dual purpose: a high-level principles document which could then be filled out to become ICP-2v2.

Kevin B: For the principles document, we can definitely trim a lot of this document. The point is to get feedback without creating a situation where people are being biased. These are good principles, but we need to allow the community to provide feedback without the bias that this level of detail might introduce.

Sander S. and Chris Q. agreed.

Nick N. then went over the document once again, discussing each principle, editing the document live based on agreements, and redlining principles that might be candidates for removal from the first draft of ICP-2v2 to be presented to the community.

Kevin B. left the meeting at 12:33 UTC.

Steve S: If there is anything that the ASO AC believes is important and wants to include, I believe it should be included even if it is not expected to be controversial. Otherwise, this may later lead to transparency questions.

Chris Q. left the meeting at 12:54 UTC.

Hervé C. left the meeting at 13:04 UTC.

Steve S. suggested sharing the principles that were deleted today in a separate document.

All thanked Nick N. for his work and the meeting was adjourned at 13:33 UTC.