

**ASO AC Teleconference  
Wednesday, 2 July 2025  
12:00 PM UTC  
Draft Minutes**

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
<p><b>APNIC</b> Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.) Di Ma (Di M.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b> Nick Nugent (Nick N.) – Vice Chair Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) Amy Potter (Amy P.)</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b> Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b> Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.) Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei R.)</p> <p><b>Secretariat</b> Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p><b>AFRINIC</b> Madhvi Gokool</p> <p><b>APNIC</b> Bhadrika Panchal (Bhadrika P.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b> Hollis Kara (Hollis K.) Chris Quesada Ashley Perks Nancy Carter Eddie Diego John Sweeting Michal Abejuela</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b> Guillermo Pereyra Eduardo Jimenez</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b> Athina Fragkouli (Athina F.) Ulka Athale (Ulka A.) Angela Dall’Ara</p> <p><b>ICANN Org</b> Andrew McConachie Carlos Reyes</p> <p><b>ICANN Board</b> Alan Barret</p>	<p><b>APNIC</b> Nicole Chan (Nicole C.)</p>

**New and updated action items from this meeting:**

**Action Item 250702-1:** The Secretariat to set up a call for Tuesday 8 July so that Nick N., Esteban L, Hervé C., and Germán V. can discuss the organization of the next ASO AC f2 face meeting.

**Action Item 250702-2:** The Secretariat to put together and share a table including the names of the ASO AC members who will be attending each meeting to support the upcoming ICP-2 consultation process (RIR meetings, ICANN, AIS).

=====

## **Agenda**

0. Welcome
  1. Roll Call
  2. Agenda Review
  3. Review Open Actions
  4. Approval Minutes 4 June 2025
  5. ICP-2 Update
    - a) Timeline after ICANN 83
    - b) Next ASO AC f2f meeting
    - c) AIS 25
  6. AOB
  7. Closed Session
  8. Adjourn
- =====

### **0. Welcome**

Hervé C. welcomed everyone, and the meeting began at 12:00 UTC.

### **1. Roll Call**

Roll call was taken and quorum was established.

### **2. Agenda Review**

The draft agenda was displayed on screen and approved as written.

### **3. Review Open Actions**

**Action Item 240605-3:** Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the NRO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **OPEN** (This is a monthly action which will remain open until the work on ICP-2 concludes.)

Hervé C. observed that last month the AC met in Prague, along with the legals and the communications team. He added that there had also been communication with the EC.

### **4. Approval Minutes 4 June 2025**

Constance B. moved to approve the minutes of the 4 June 2025 ASP AC Teleconference as written, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no opposition or abstentions were heard, so the motion carried.

### **5. ICP-2 Update**

#### **a) Timeline after ICANN 83**

Hervé C. observed that the drafting team (Esteban L. and Nick N.) have been thinking about a timeline for after ICANN 83 and gave them the floor.

Esteban L. noted that the AC's objective is now to complete v2 of the governance document by mid-August and present it to the EC for approval, so we have to establish a timeline that will allow us to comply with these deadlines. We have two tasks: 1) we must draft the new version, 2) we must also provide feedback to the community about the comments received via the RIR and ICANN consultations. Both tasks must be included in our timeline.

Nick N. noted that Ulka A. has already provided a draft report (20 pages, very well done, thank you to Ulka A. and the other members of the communications team who helped).

Ulka A. replied that this report was prepared by the whole communications team and was shared with the AC. Kevin B. had volunteered to shepherd this document.

Kevin B. explained that because of health reasons, he will not be able to volunteer any time in the next 30-60 days, so he suggested that it might be best to put this task onto somebody else.

Hervé C. said that they all completely understand, as health is the priority.

Andrei R. said he would be willing to shoulder the effort of shepherding the communications team's report on the AC side.

Hervé C, and Esteban L. volunteered to help Andrei R.

Way forward: After this call, Ulka A. will share the report once again via email, Andrei R, Esteban L, and Herve C. will read through it to see if there is anything the CCG missed that should be there. Once the AC has given their go ahead, the CCG will format the report properly for publication.

All agreed.

Nick N. then mentioned that the next round of public RIR meetings begins with APNIC 60, then we have AIS, LACNIC, RIPE, ICANN (now in Dublin), ARIN... so if the goal is to provide v2 for consultation during those meetings, we need to publish v2 sometime before 4 September.

Akinori M. said that APNIC will begin on September 4, but perhaps we can publish v2 before the ICP-2 Review session (10 September).

Andrei R. noted that, for v1, we published the report a few days before the first RIR meeting and wondered whether that time had been enough.

Bhadrika P. said that from the APNIC point of view, it would be wonderful if we could have at least 7-10 days to promote the document and time for our community to digest it (considering that it is predominantly a non-English speaking community).

Esteban L. reminded everyone that the v2 document must also be approved by the EC.

Ulka A. provided the following overview:

- External commitments: We told the community that they would be able to comment, from a communications point of view 10 days would be ideal.

- ICANN's minimum comment period is 40 days, but we will have more than double that as we will span all the RIR meetings.

Ulka A. shared a tentative timeline prepared by the CCG. Led by Nick N, the group went over this document. After some further discussion and input from ICANN (who will be able to work with the timeline agreed by the ASO AC), the ASO AC agreed on a timeline, which Ulka A. updated live on the document above.

Kevin B. then shared that there is also the question of what we will be presenting to the community. Simply presenting all the feedback is not going to be as helpful as explaining what we took, what changes we made and so on. So, making the changes is one part, deciding how we show the changes to the community is also part of the process and we need to make sure somebody is working on that. Finally, we discussed extensions and so on and the best way to handle those, so the CCG might provide some recommendations on how to best lay this out.

Andrei R. agreed that the AC needs to decide how we present this.

Hollis K. said that, as far as the package of documents we are going to need to develop alongside v2 (redline version, summary of differences, and rationale), the rationale will likely be the most complicated, as creating the redline version is simple and straightforward.

Ulka A. observed that she has shared this timeline with HPH and that HPH is aware that there are tight deadlines.

She then explained the difference between the general report (which has already been shared with the AC) and the rationale document (the report is the summary of the consultation feedback, and the rationale will be the rationale for the changes between v. 1 and v. 2).

Esteban L. added that now what we have to provide to the community is simply the general summary of the comments received.

Athina F. shared that the legals have reserved some time over the next few months for work related to the ICP-2 review, so the sooner the drafting team finishes their task, the better it will be for this timeline.

After some further discussion, Ulka A. updated the draft timeline to consider the suggestions agreed by the AC during the call.

Alan Barret left the call at this time (12:58 UTC).

## **b) Next ASO AC f2f meeting**

Hervé C. explained that he had asked the EC about the possibility of meeting f2f one last time in 2025, and that the EC had replied positively. We need now to see when and where we should meet, as there is also the question of budget. The request also included support for a delegation to attend AIS and ICANN 84 (in Dublin).

Nick N. asked what the purpose of the work during this f2f meeting would be, to which Hervé C. replied that there will be a new round of consultations (RIR meetings and ICANN), so the work would include an analysis of these consultations and drafting the final version of the document.

Esteban L. agreed, adding that to him the purpose of the f2f meeting is clear: we will provide a v2 of the doc, it will be submitted to public consultation (RIRs, AIS 2025, ICANN), we will then receive multiple comments which we must process to draft v3, the final version of the document which we will present to the EC and to ICANN for approval. This f2f meeting will be useful to replicate the work we did in Prague for v2, which is why it should be scheduled at the end of November or early December to make sure the consultations are finished.

Andrei R, Constanze B, and Akinori M. agreed.

Esteban L. shared that LACNIC has offered its headquarters in Montevideo as an option for this f2f meeting.

Nick N. and Constance B. expressed their support for meeting in Montevideo, and Hervé C. added that this is something that also needs to be discussed within the NRO EC.

Potential dates for the meeting were then discussed, including various potential clashes for the end of November and first of December (Thanksgiving in the US, board meetings, and others).

Nick N. said that, presumably, the work on v2 will be less than for v1. He is open to the f2f meeting but doesn't think it will involve the same amount of work as the meeting in Prague. Given the various constraints, scheduling this f2f meeting will be difficult and may not work for everyone.

Andrei R. replied that, in his opinion, wrapping this up is super important, and it may not be an insignificant task.

Constance B. noted that there's a lot of work to do, including plan the work for next year.

Hervé C. proposed that Nick N., Esteban L, Germán V. and himself could schedule a call next Tuesday 8 July to discuss the organization of this f2f meeting and then the AC as a whole can make the final decision.

**Action Item 250702-1:** The Secretariat to set up a call for Tuesday 8 July so that Nick N., Esteban L, Hervé C., and Germán V. can discuss the organization of the next ASO AC f2 face meeting.

#### **c) AIS 25**

Andrei R. explained that he had proposed this topic for the agenda because the African community is underserved and needs special attention.

Hervé C. said that he has no specific answer on this yet, but that it's ongoing.

At Andrei R.'s suggestion, the following action item was decided:

**Action Item 250702-2:** The Secretariat to put together and share a table including the names of the ASO AC members who will be attending each meeting to support the upcoming ICP-2 consultation process (RIR meetings, ICANN, AIS).

#### **6. AOB**

-

## **7. Closed Session**

-

## **8. Adjourn**

Esteban L. moved to adjourn the meeting, Andrei R. seconded the motion. There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 13:19.