

**ASO AC Teleconference**  
**Wednesday, 6 May 2026**  
**12:00 PM UTC**  
**Draft Minutes**

<b>Attendees</b>	<b>Observers</b>	<b>Apologies</b>
<p><b>APNIC</b>  Nicole Chan (Nicole C.)  Devesh Tyagi (Devesh T.)  Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b>  Amy Potter (Amy P.) – Vice Chair  Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.)</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b>  Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) – Vice Chair  Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)  Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.)</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b>  Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.)  Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair  Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei R.)</p> <p><b>Secretariat</b>  Germán Valdez (Germán V.)  Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p><b>AFRINIC</b>  Madhvi Gokool</p> <p><b>APNIC</b>  Bhadrika Panchal (Bhadrika P.)  Jeremy Harrison</p> <p><b>ARIN</b>  Eddie Diego  Hollis Kara  John Sweeting  Micheal Abejuela</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b>  María Gayo  Eduardo Jimenez</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b>  Athina Fragkouli  Angela Dall’Ara  Ulka Athale</p> <p><b>ICANN Org</b>  Andrew McConachie (Andrew M.)  Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.)</p> <p><b>Community</b>  Deborah Swati Lall  Mirjam Kühne  Julien Massé  Christopher Quesada  Oscar Robles Garay  Nancy Carter  Sander Steffann</p>	<p><b>AFRINIC</b>  Saul Stein (Saul S.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b>  Alyssa Quinn (Alyssa Q.)</p>

**New and Updated Action Items**

**New Action Item 260506-1:** Akinori M. to study the RoR CCG’s document “Refined Outline of Suggested Reviews System” and alert the ASO AC if he identifies any issues requiring the group’s attention.

=====

## **Agenda**

1. Welcome
  2. Roll Call
  3. Agenda Review
  4. Approval Minutes April 2026
  5. Review Open Actions
  6. RIR Governance Document Update
  7. ICANN 86 Update
  8. CCG RoR Update
  9. RIR Meeting Update/Reports
    - a) ARIN 57
  10. AOB
  11. Closed Session
  12. Adjourn
- =====

## **Minutes**

### **1. Welcome**

Hervé C. opened the meeting and welcomed everyone at 12:00 UTC.

### **2. Roll Call**

Roll call was taken and quorum was established.

### **3. Agenda Review**

The agenda was shared on screen and approved as written.

### **4. Approval Minutes April 2026**

Esteban L. moved to approve the April 2026 ASO AC Teleconference minutes, Constance B. seconded the motion, no oppositions were heard, and the motion carried.

### **5. Review Open Actions**

**Action Item 240605-3:** Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the ASO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **ONGOING**

Hervé C. observed that the Drafting Team (DT) had been in touch with the NRO EC about the timeline, so Action Item 240605-3 can be considered done for this month.

### **6. RIR Governance Document Update**

Hervé C. reported that he and the ASO AC Drafting Team had been invited to the NRO EC's monthly meeting held on 29 April, where we were asked to include a representative from each region. He then informed that the DT's new composition is as follows: Amy P. (ARIN), Esteban L. (LACNIC), Nicole C. (APNIC), Saul S. (AFRINIC), and Andrei R. (RIPE).

Hervé C. provided a status update on the drafting work and noted that additional timeline discussions were ongoing. He added that a follow-up meeting was scheduled for tomorrow where he and Amy P. will meet with Jia Rong Low and ICANN representatives to discuss the timeline in more detail, after which a clearer timeline would be shared. Additionally, the ASO AC will publish two documents, which Bhadrিকা P. proceeded to explain.

Bhadrিকা P. explained that the status report previously referred to as the Status Report Q2 would be retitled "Status Report May 2026." Feedback from the NRO EC was requested by Thursday, 7 May, with the goal of publishing the document and corresponding announcement on Friday and sharing them through the regional mailing lists.

Amy P. provided an overview of the DT's work since Mumbai. She reported that the team had prepared a new draft, circulated it to the RIR legal team, incorporated helpful comments, and then submitted it to the NRO EC. For transparency, the draft and any remaining open issues would also be circulated to the ASO AC prior to Seville. She added that the team expected to implement any changes agreed in Seville and aimed to hand the document over to the NRO EC by the end of June. She also noted that the version to be circulated after the call would be a redline, and that many of the changes were structural and reflected points previously agreed in Montevideo.

Esteban L. underlined that the DT had held weekly meetings with the participation of the legal team and congratulated Amy P. for serving as the principal penholder throughout the process. He noted that the work was progressing well, but that the group was waiting for ICANN's feedback on version 3 before the full ASO AC could move toward finalizing the document in Seville.

Esteban L. added that the DT had also worked with the NRO EC on a final timeline, which would be shared with ICANN for review. He said the immediate priority was to follow that timeline and that further progress depended on ICANN's response.

## **7. ICANN 86 Update**

Hervé C. said the group would begin its work on Monday and expected to hold mostly private sessions to discuss the outstanding issues. He also shared that the ASO AC should discuss whether an open session would be useful.

Esteban L. noted that ICANN 86 would be the ASO AC's last moment to finalize the document and that the group therefore planned to hold as many internal sessions as possible from Monday through Thursday, with the goal of completing the final document by the end of the week.

The group then debated on the need to have an open session during ICANN 86, with Hervé C. noting that the idea is not to decide anything today, but to gather input.

Ozan S. then share the ICANN86 ASO AC schedule on screen (<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1RNRCKqT9Fq1j6jWiEy1bT6bv3aXZfVlbeZl5wIKsOzU/edit?usp=sharing>) and reported that, based on discussions in Mumbai and with the leadership, 15 private sessions had been planned which would not appear on the public schedule, along with an ASO AC update session on Thursday. He also noted that the ICANN 86 schedule would be published on Monday, 11 May.

Esteban L. noted that the open session on Thursday would be a wrap-up for transparency.

Hervé C. noted that he would inform Ozan S. shortly which open sessions the ASO AC would be having.

Ozan S. also noted that ICANN 86 Prep Week would begin on 20 May and that webinars had already been announced. He reminded the group that the ASO AC webinar would take place on Wednesday, 20 May, suggested a preparatory meeting to discuss details, and encouraged anyone interested in other sessions to register.

Bhadrika P. noted that the CCG would prepare the slides as usual and share them for review prior to the webinar.

## **8. CCG RoR Update**

Akinori M. provided a quick update of the Review of Reviews CCG and noted that the topic would also be covered during a Prep Week session and suggested that it would be a good opportunity to learn more about this topic.

He then presented and spoke to the document titled “Refined Outline of Suggested Reviews System.” He volunteered to have deeper review and advise to the ASO AC if there is anything that should be reported back.

**New Action Item 260506-1:** Akinori M. to study the RoR CCG’s document “Refined Outline of Suggested Reviews System” and alert the ASO AC if he identifies any issues requiring the group’s attention.

## **9. RIR Meeting Update/Reports**

### **a) ARIN 57**

Amy P. reported that a presentation update of the RIR Internet Governance Document review process had been given at the ARIN 57 meeting and that it had received positive feedback, along with a small number of questions from the community.

Kevin B. added that the presentation had included an appropriate level of detail and noted that the overall feedback had been positive.

## **10. AOB**

-

## **11. Closed Session**

All left and only the ASO AC members and the Secretariat remained on the call for the closed session.

## **12. Adjourn**

There being no further business to discuss, Andrei R. moved to adjourn the meeting and Akinori M. seconded the motion. There being no objections, the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 UTC.